Case: 4:20-cr-00024-JAR Doc. #: 21 Filed: 03/23/20 Page: 1 of 3 PageID #: 42

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of Missouri

United States of America v.)) Case No. 4:20CR00024 JAR/PLC
MARSHON STEWART)
Defendant)

v.)	
MARSHON STEWART) Case No. 4:20CR00024 JAR/PLC	
Defendant		
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Part I - Eligibility for Detention		
Upon the		
	nt to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or	
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own i	motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),	
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.	
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)		
and the community because the following conditions I (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum to (b) an offense for which the maximum seril (c) an offense for which a maximum term Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 co (d) any felony if such person has been co (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two controlled Controlle	ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person have been met: llowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or an of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or nvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses e) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal	
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv)	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>	
	d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise	
committed while the defendant was on release (4) a period of not more than five years has ela	pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i> apsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.	

Case: 4:20-cr-00024-JAR Doc. #: 21 Filed: 03/23/20 Page: 2 of 3 PageID #: 43

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
 (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☐ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
 ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse ☐ Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence

Lack of financially responsible sureties

☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Case: 4:20-cr-00024-JAR Doc. #: 21 Filed: 03/23/20 Page: 3 of 3 PageID #: 44 AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION: The U.S. Pretrial Services Report that was prepared on February 20, 2020 is incorporated here by reference. Defendant was present at a detention hearing on February 21, 2020 with his lawyer and he argued that he is amenable to all conditions of release. He has never been alleged dangerous in his prior criminal history. He has a supportive family, including relatives who were present in the courtroom. His mother would agree to be a 3rd party custodian. The Government argued that defendant was not employed for two years. He has a significant substance abuse problem, including daily use of OxyContin. He has no history of substance abuse treatment. He has had issues complying with conditions of bond. Defendant's proposed home plan is a location where the Pretrial Officer observed marijuana paraphernalia after the undersigned directed the Pretrial office to conduct a home visit, and a proposed co-habitant has a pending criminal case in Kansas City. Defendant's history of subsance abuse, if continued, makes him a risk of nonappearance and a danger to the community. Defendant was on a Missouri state bond at the time he was alleged to have committed this offense. Thus, he has a history of not complying with conditions of release and he should be detained. **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention** The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. Date: 03/23/2020 /s/Noelle C. Collins

United States Magistrate Judge